## Riskmanagement introduction - summary

Christian Groll

◆□ → < ② → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ○ へ ○ 1/17

### prices vs returns

# Why don't we model prices $P_t$ as random variables instead of returns?

### prices vs returns

# prices have changing mean value over time as they tend to increase on average $\Rightarrow$ no stationarity



#### Why are logarithmic returns used so commonly?

## log returns

#### domain changes

 $]-\inf, \inf[$  instead of ]0,  $\inf[$  or  $]-1, \inf[$   $\Rightarrow$  could make finding suitable distribution easier

#### aggregation over time

aggregation over time through summation:

$$r_{1:2}^{\log} = r_1^{\log} + r_2^{\log}$$

consequences of summation

#### What are consequences from summation over time?

## consequences of summation

#### theoretical justification of normal distribution

 $r_t^{log} \sim \mathcal{N}$  could be justified through central limit theorem

#### analytical solutions

distribution of  $r_{1:2}^{\log}$  could be solved analytically for well behaved distributions

## consequences of modelling returns

#### What are consequences of modelling returns instead of prices?

## consequences of modelling returns

some quantities of interest are functions of random variables:

$$Z := absoluteLoss = f(r_t^{log})$$

What is the distribution of *absoluteLoss*?  $\Rightarrow$  transformation theorem

## problems with functions of random variables

What problems could occur when applying functions to random variables, even if calculation of pdf may be easily possible through transformation theorem? What makes linear functions special?

# problems with functions of random variables

Usually we are interested in measures that reduce information contained in distribution. These measures often involve integration:

 $\mathbb{E}[Z],\,\mathbb{V}(Z)$ 

may not be solved analytically. However: possible for linear functions!

interpretation of log returns

Although being just some mathematical transformation to achieve mathematical tractability, why do we often not bother to translate results back to discrete returns?

interpretation of log returns

logarithmic returns are very close to net discrete returns for small returns and hence retain an easy interpretation:

$$r^{log} = \log(1 + r^{net}) pprox r^{net}$$

## mean log return

### With observed prices $P_t$ and $P_{t+n}$ , how do we get mean log return?

# mean log return

#### mean log return is

$$\frac{1}{n}(\log(P_{t+n}) - \log(P_t))$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

differences in price evolution

# What differences exist between the evolution of discrete prices and logarithmic prices?

differences in price evolution

#### $\mathsf{Exponential\ increase} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{linear\ increase}$

<ロト <回ト < 言ト < 言ト < 言ト 言 の < © 17 / 17